### A Numerical Algorithm for Zero Counting

### IV

An Adaptive Speedup

Josué Tonelli-Cueto

Inria Paris / IMJ-PRG



Internal Story of the Voblem

### THE PROBLEM

Ha[n] 38

Real Homogeneous
Polynomial System
in Xo,..., Xu
& with deg 8:=d;

DETERMINISTIC

+
NUMERICALLY

STABLE

+
'GOOD' PROBABILISTIC

RUN-TIME (for random 8)

The ALGORITHM
we want!

#Zp(8)

# projective Zeros of &

## The ORIGINAL RILOGY (by Cucker, Krick, Malajovich, Wschebor)

Journal of Complexity 24 (2008) 582-605



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### **Journal of Complexity**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jco



A numerical algorithm for zero counting, I: Complexity

Felipe Cucker a,\*, Teresa Krick b,c, Gregorio Malajovich d, Mario Wschebor e

- 2 Department of Mathematics, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong
- b Departamento de Matemática, Univ. de Buenos Aires, Argentina
- A Dento, de Matemático Anlicado, Univ. Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Brazi

### ARTICLE INFO

Received 22 November 2007 Accepted 14 March 2008 Available online 20 April 2008

Polynomial systems Finite precision

### ABSTRACT

We describe an algorithm to count the number of distinct real zeros of a polynomial (square) system f. The algorithm performs  $O(\log(n\mathbf{D}\kappa(f)))$  iterations (grid refinements) where n is the number of polynomials (as well as the dimension of the ambient space). **D** is a bound on the polynomials' degree, and  $\kappa(f)$  is a condition number for the system. Each iteration uses an exponential number of operations. The algorithm uses finite-precision arithmetic and a major feature of our results is a bound for the precision required to ensure that the returned output is correct which is polynomial in n and D and logarithmic in  $\kappa(I)$ . The algorithm parallelizes well in the sense that each iteration can be computed in parallel polynomial sense that each iteration  $s_m$ :
time in  $n_i \log D$  and  $\log(\kappa(f))$ .  $\bigcirc$  2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

In recent years considerable attention has been paid to the complexity of counting problems over the reals. The counting complexity class #Pn was introduced [20] and completeness results for #P were established [3] for natural geometric problems notably, for the computation of the Euler characteristic of semialgebraic sets. As one could expect, the "basic" #Px-complete problem consists of counting the real zeros of a system of polynomial equations.

E-mail addresses: macuker@cityu.edu.hk (F. Cucker), krick@dm.uba.ar (T. Krick), gregorio@ufrj.br (G. Malajovich), wschebor@cmat.edu.uy (M. Wschebor).

0885-064X/\$ - see front matter © 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved doi:10.1016/j.jco.2008.03.001

J. fixed point theory appl. 6 (2009), 285–294
 © 2009 Birkhäuser Verlag Basel/Switzerland
 1661-7738/020285-10, published online 14.11.2009
 DOI 10.1007/s11784-009-0127-4

Journal of Fixed Point Theory

### A numerical algorithm for zero counting. II: Distance to ill-posedness and smoothed analysis

Felipe Cucker, Teresa Krick, Gregorio Malajovich and Mario Wschebor

To Steve, on his 80th birthday, with admiration and esteem

Abstract. We show a Condition Number Theorem for the condition number of zero counting for real polynomial systems. That is, we show that this condition number equals the inverse of the normalized distance to the set of ill-posed systems (i.e., those having multiple real zeros). As a consequence, a smoothed analysis of this condition number follows.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000), 65Y20, 65H10

Keywords. Polynomial systems, zero counting, condition numbers, smoothed

### 1. Introduction

This paper continues the work in [8], where we described a numerical algorithm to count the number of zeros in n-dimensional real projective space of a system of n real homogeneous polynomials. The algorithm works with finite precision and both its complexity and the precision required to ensure correctness are bounded in terms of n, the maximum D of the polynomials' degrees, and a condition num

In this paper we replace  $\kappa(f)$ —which was originally defined using the com-putationally friendly infinity norm—by a version  $\tilde{\kappa}(f)$  (defined in Section 2 below) which uses instead Euclidean norms. This difference is of little consequence in complexity estimates since one has (cf. Proposition 3.3 below)

$$\frac{\widetilde{\kappa}(f)}{\sqrt{n}} \le \kappa(f) \le \sqrt{2n} \, \widetilde{\kappa}(f).$$
 (1)

Advances in Applied Mathematics 48 (2012) 215-248



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Advances in Applied Mathematics

www.elsevier.com/locate/vaama



A numerical algorithm for zero counting. III: Randomization and condition

Felipe Cucker a, \*, 1, Teresa Krick b, 2, Gregorio Malajovich c, 3, Mario Wschebor d

- Department of Mathematics, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong.
  Departmento de Matemática, Universidad de Buenos Aires & IMAS, CONKET, Argentini.
  Departmento de Matemática Aplicada, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
  Centro de Matemática, Universidad de la República, Uruguay.

### ARTICLE INFO

Received 8 July 2010 Accepted 1 July 2011 Available online 30 August 2011

65Y20

Keywords: Zero counting Finite precision Condition numbers

In a recent paper (Cucker et al., 2008 [8]) we analyzed a numerica algorithm for computing the number of real zeros of a polynomial system. The analysis relied on a condition number  $\kappa(f)$  for the input system f. In this paper we look at  $\kappa(f)$  as a random variable derived from imposing a probability measure on the space of polynomial systems and give bounds for both the tail  $\mathbb{P}(\kappa(f) > a)$ and the expected value  $\mathbb{E}(\log \kappa(f))$ .  $\otimes$  2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

E-mail addresses: macucker@cityu.edu.hk (F. Cucker), krick@dm.uba.ar (T. Krick), gregorio@ufrj.br (G. Malajovich),

wschebor@cmat.edu.uv.(M. Wschebor).

Partially supported by GRF grant City University 100810.

Partially supported by grants ANPCyT 33671/05, UBACyT X113/2008-2010 and CONICET PIP/2010-2012. Partially supported by CNPq grants 470031/2007-7, 303565/2007-1, and by FAPERJ.

0196-8858/\$ - see front matter © 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Part 1 Algorithm & condition-based complexity & probabilistic complexity

C Part 3 Probabilistic analysis without integral geometry

## The ORIGINAL RILOGY (by Cucker, Krick, Malajovich, Wscheber)

Journal of Complexity 24 (2008) 582-605



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### **Journal of Complexity**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jco



### A numerical algorithm for zero counting, I: Complexity

Felipe Cucker a,\*, Teresa Krick b,c, Gregorio Malajovich d, Mario Wschebor e

- 2 Department of Mathematics, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong
- b Departamento de Matemática, Univ. de Buenos Aires, Argentina
- A Dento, de Matemático Anlicado, Univ. Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Brazi

### ARTICLE INFO

Received 22 November 2007 Accepted 14 March 2008 Available online 20 April 2008

Counting algorithm Polynomial systems Finite precision

We describe an algorithm to count the number of distinct real zeros of a polynomial (square) system f. The algorithm performs  $\mathcal{O}(\log(n\mathbf{D}\kappa(f)))$  iterations (grid refinements) where n is the number of polynomials (as well as the dimension of the ambient space  $\mathbf{D}$  is a bound on the polynomials' degree, and  $\mathbf{x}(F)$  is a condit. number for the steem. Each iteration uses an exponential number of oper thins. The algorithm is essimite-precise varithmetic and positive for the properties. The algorithm is sometime that the returned output is correct which is another and D and logarithmic in  $\kappa(f)$ . This algorithm part is less we, in the sense that each iteration can be imputed in partial by the polynomial time in  $\kappa_i$  log D and log $\kappa(f)$ . number for the system. Eac iteration uses an exponential numb

In recent years considerable attention has been paid to the complexity of counting problems over the reals. The counting complexity class  $\#P_R$  was introduced [20] and completeness results for #P. were established [3] for natural geometric problems notably, for the computation of the Euler characteristic of semialgebraic sets. As one could expect, the "basic" #Px-complete problem of counting the real zeros of a system of polynomial equations.

E-mail addresses: macucker@cityu.edu.hk (F. Cucker), krick@dm.; 3s.ar (T. Kri.k), wschebor@cmat.edu.uy (M. Wschebor).

0885-064X/\$ - see front matter © 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights res doi:10.1016/j.jco.2008.03.001

J. fixed point theory appl. 6 (2009), 285–294
 © 2009 Birkhäuser Verlag Basel/Switzerland
 1661-7738/020285-10, published online 14.11.2009
 DOI 10.1007/s11784-009-0127-4

Journal of Fixed Point Theory

### A numerical algorithm for zero counting. II: Distance to ill-posedness and smoothed analysis

Felipe Cucker, Teresa Krick, Gregorio Malajovich and Mario Wschebor

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000), 65Y20, 65 f10.

Keywords. Polynomial sys ems, zero counting, condit on nur

### 1. Introduction

This paper continues the work in [8], where we described a numerical algorithm o count the number of zeros in n-dimensional real projective space of a system of real omogeneous polynomials. The algorithm works with finite precision and both its complexity and the precision required to ensure correctness are bounded

Advances in Applied Mathematics 48 (2012) 215-248



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Advances in Applied Mathematics

www.elsevier.com/locate/yaama



A numerical algorithm for zero counting, III: Randomization and condition

Felipe Cucker a. \*. 1, Teresa Lick b. 2, ( regor to Managovich c. 3, Mario Wschebor d

Received 8 July 2010 Accepted 1 July 2011 Available online 30 August 2011

In a recent paper (Cucker et al., 2008 [8]) we analyzed a numerical algorithm for computing the number of real zeros of a polynomia input system f. In this paper we look at  $\kappa(f)$  as a random varia derived from imposing a probability measure on the space polynomial systems and give ounds for both the  $\operatorname{tr} 1 \mathbb{P}[\kappa(f)]$ 



E-mail addresses: macucker@cityu.edu.hk (F. Cucker), krick@dm.uba.ar (T. Krick), gregorio@ufrj.br (G. Malajovich),

wschebor@cmat.edu.uv.(M. Wschebor).

Partially supported by GRF grant City University 100810.

Partially supported by grants ANPCyT 33671/05, UBACyT X113/2008-2010 and CONICET PIP/2010-2012 Partially supported by CNPq grants 470031/2007-7, 303565/2007-1, and by FAPERJ.

0196-8858/\$ - see front matter © 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Condition Number Theorem Part 1 Algorithm & condition-based complexity & probabilistic complexity

C Part 3 Probabilistic analysis without integral geometry

### The CKMW algorithm

Ha[n] > 8 CKMW

#Zp(8)

DETERMINISTIC

NUMERICALLY STABLE

GOOD' PROBABILISTIC RUN-TIME

With high probability, run-time (CKMW,8) < D for & KSS (average/smoothed)

> KSS=Kostlan-Shub-Smale Gaussian

D:= max d;

### HE SPIN-OFFS Erquir, Paouris, Rojas)

Found Comput Math (2019) 19:131-157



### Probabilistic Condition Number Estimates for Real Polynomial Systems I: A Broader Family of Distributions

Alperen A. Ergür<sup>1</sup> · Grigoris Paouris<sup>2</sup> · J. Maurice Roias

Received: 8 December 2016 / Revised: 6 June 2017 / Accepted: 30 October 2017 / Published online: 31 January 2018 © SFoCM 2018

Abstract We consider the sensitivity of real roots of polynomial systems with respect to perturbations of the coefficients. In particular-for a version of the condition number defined by Cucker and used later by Cucker, Krick, Malajovich, and Wschebor-we establish new probabilistic estimates that allow a much broader family of measures than considered earlier. We also generalize further by allowing overdetermined systems. In Part II, we study smoothed complexity and how sparsity (in the sense of restricting which terms can appear) can help further improve earlier condition number estimates.

Keywords Condition number · Epsilon net · Probabilistic bound · Kappa Real-solving · Overdetermined · Subgaussian

### Communicated by Felipe Cucker

Alperen A. Ergür was partially supported by NSF Grant CCF-1409020, NSF CAREER Grant DMS-1151711 and Einstein Foundation, Berlin. Grigoris Paouris was partially supported by BSF Grant 2010288 and NSF CAREER Grant DMS-1151711. J. Maurice Rojas was partially supported by NSF Grants CCF-1409020 and DMS-1460766.

Alperen A. Ereilr

Grigoris Paquris

grigoris@math.tamu.ed

I Maurice Roise

- Institut für Mathematik, Technische Universität Berlin, Sekretariat MA 3-2, Strae des 17. Juni 136, 10623 Berlin, Germany
- Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University TAMU 3368, College Station, TX 77843-3368, USA





### 151 Non-gaussian average complexity in Numerical Alg. Geowl

### SMOOTHED ANALYSIS FOR THE CONDITION NUMBER OF STRUCTURED REAL POLYNOMIAL SYSTEMS

ALPEREN A. ERGÜR, GRIGORIS PAOURIS, AND J. MAURICE ROJAS

ABSTRACT. We consider the sensitivity of real zeros of structured polynomial systems to perturbations of their coefficients. In particular, we provide explicit estimates for condition numbers of structured random real polynomial systems, and extend these estimates to smoothed analysis setting.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

2020

Feb

17

Efficiently finding real roots of real polynomial systems is one of the main objectives of computational algebraic geometry. There are numerous algorithms for this task, but the core steps of these algorithms are easy to outline: They are some combination of algebraic manipulation, a discrete/polyhedral computation, and a numerical iterative scheme

From a computational complexity point of view, the cost of numerical iteration is much less transparent than the cost of algebraic or discrete computation. This paper constitutes a step toward understanding the complexity of numerically solving structured real polynomial systems. Our main results are Theorems 1.14, 1.16, and 1.18 below, but we will first need to give some context for our results.

1.1. How to control accuracy and complexity of numerics in real algebraic geometry? In the numerical linear algebra tradition, going back to von Neumann and Turing, condition numbers play a central role in the control of accuracy and speed of algorithms (see, e.g., [3, 6] for further background). Shub and Smale initiated the use of condition numbers for polynomial system solving over the field of complex numbers [36, 37]. Subsequently, condition numbers played a central role in the solution of Smale's 17th problem [2, 5, 25].

The numerics of solving polynomial systems over the real numbers is more subtle than complex case: small perturbations can cause the solution set to change cardinality. One can even go from having no real zero to many real zeros by an arbitrarily small change in the coefficients. This behaviour doesn't appear over the complex numbers as one has theorems (such as the Fundemantel Theorem of Algebra) proving that root counts are "generically" constant. Luckily, a condition number theory that captures these subtleties was developed by Cucker [11]. Now we set up the notation and present Cucker's definition.

**Definition 1.1** (Bombieri-Weyl Norm). We set  $x^{\alpha} := x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$  where  $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ , and let  $P = (p_1, \dots, p_{n-1})$  be a system of homogenous polynomials with degree pattern  $d_1, \ldots, d_{n-1}$ . Let  $c_{i,\alpha}$  denote the coefficient of  $x^{\alpha}$  in a  $p_i$ . We define the Weyl-Bombieri norms of pi and P to be, respectively,

$$||p_i||_W := \sqrt{\sum_{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_n=d_i} \frac{|c_{i,\alpha}|^2}{\binom{d_i}{\alpha}}}$$

A.E. was partially supported by Einstein Foundation, Berlin and by the Pravesh Kothari of CMU. G.P. was partially supported by Simons Foundation Collaboration grant 527498 and NSF grant DMS-1812240. J.M.R. was partially supported by NSF grants CCF-1409020, DMS-1460766, and CCF-1900881.

1st hon-gaussian smoothed complexity in NAG

### HE SPIN-OFFS (by Ergür, Paouris, Rojas)

Found Comput Math (2019) 19:131-157





Probabilistic Condition Number Estimates for Real Polynomial Systems I: A Broader Family of Distributions

Alperen A. Ergür<sup>1</sup> · Grigoris Paouris<sup>2</sup> · J. Maurice Roias

red: 8 December 2016 / Revised: 6 June 2017 / Accepted: 30 October 2017 / January 2018 CM 2018

Ab tract We consider the sensitivity of real roots of polynomial vstems with respect to the coefficients in the cor sidered earlier. We also generalize further by allowing overdetermined services, in Par II, we study amounted complexity and how sparsity (in the sense of restricting which terms can appear) can help further improve earlier condition number estimates.

Keywords Condition number · Epsilon net · Probabilistic bound · Kappa Real-solving · Overdetermined · Subgaussian

### Communicated by Felipe Cucker.

Alperen A. Ergür was partially supported by NSF Grant CCF-409020, NSF CAREEP Grant DMS-1151711 and Einstein Foundation, Berlin, Grigoris Paou s was partially supported by BS. Gr 2010288 and NSF CAREER Grant DMS-1151711, J. Maurice tojas was partial y supported by NS Grants CCF-1409020 and DMS-1460766.

- Alperen A. Ereilr
  - Grigoris Paquris
  - grigoris@math.tamu.edu
- I Maurice Roise
- Institut für Mathematik, Technische Universität Berlin, Sekretariat MA 3-2, Strae des 17. Juni 136, 10623 Berlin, Germany
- Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University TAMU 3368, College Station,



SMOOTHED ANALYSIS FOR THE CONDITION NUMBER OF STRUCTURED REAL POLYNOMIAL SYSTEMS

ALPEREN A. ERGÜR, GRIGORIS PAOURIS, AND J. MAURICE ROJAS

ABSTRACT. We consider the sensitivity of real zeros of structured polynomial systems to perturbations of their coefficients. In particular, we provide explicit estimates for condition numbers of structured random real polynomial systems, and extend these estimates to smoothed analysis setting.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Efficiently finding real roots of real polynomial systems is one of the main objectives of computational algebraic geometry. There are numerous algorithms for this task, but the core steps of these algorithms are easy to outline: They are some combination of algebraic manipulation, a discrete/polyhedral computation, and a numerical iterative scheme.

From a computational complexity point of view, the cost of numerical iteration is much It's tran parent to the cost of all braid it discrete computation. The paper constitutes a servivoral unit state ding the complexity of numerically solving structured real polynomial systems. Our things the complexity of numerically solving structured real polynomial systems. Our things the complexity of numerically solving the context for our results.

1.1. How to control accuracy and complexity of numerics in real algebraic geometry? In the numerical linear algebra tradition, going back to von Neumann and Turing, condition numbers play a central role in the control of accuracy and speed of algorithms (see, e.g., [3, 6] for further background). Shub and Smale initiated the use of condition numbers for polynomial system solving over the field of complex numbers [36, 37]. Subsequently, condition numbers played a central role in the solution of Smale's 17th problem [2, 5, 25].

The numerics of solving polynomial systems over the real numbers is more subtle than complex case: small perturbations can cause the solution set to change cardinality. One can even go from having no real zero to many real zeros by an arbitrarily small change in the contincients. This be aviour doesn't appear over the complex numbers as one has theorems (such as the Funden antel Theorem of Algebra) proving that root counts are "generically" constant. Luckily, a condition number theory that captures these subtleties was developed a Cucker [11]. Now we set up the lotation and present Cucker's definition.

**Definition 1.1** (B mbieri-Weyl Norm). We set  $x^{\alpha} := x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$  where  $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ , and let  $P = (p_1, \dots, p_{n-1})$  be a system of homogenous polynomials with degree pattern  $d_1, \dots, d_{n-1}$ . Let  $e_{i,a}$  denote the profficient of  $x^{\alpha}$  in a  $p_i$ . We define the Weyl-Bombieri norms of pi and P to be, respectively,



Feb 2020



A.E. was partially supported by Einstein Foundation, Berlin and by the Pravesh Kothari of CMU. G.P. was partially supported by Simons Foundation Collaboration grant 527498 and NSF grant DMS-1812240. J.M.R. was partially supported by NSF grants CCF-1409020, DMS-1460766, and CCF-1900881.

1st non-ganssian average complexity in Numerical Alg. Geowl 1st hon-gaussian smoothed complexity in NAGI

### algorithm (after the spinoffs) The CKMW

Ha[n] > 8 CKMW

#Zp(8)

DETERMINISTIC

NUMERICALLY STABLE

GOOD' PROBABILISTIC RUN-TIME

With high probability, run-time (CKMW,8) < D (n2) gor & wide class of vaudom systems

D:= max d:

For random & E Ha[n] as before,

Egran-time (CKMW,8) < 00?

Ideal Make CKMW adaptive, then complexity should depend on  $\mathbb{E}_{x \in S^n} \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{Z},x)^n$ which has finite expectation for a random &1

72(8,x)=||3||w/\||3(x)||2+||0x8044|-2 \(\Delta=diag(d:)

Inspiration: (Cucker, Ergür, T.- C.; 2019) while studiting AV algorithm

Naive adaptive version Fails!
(Eckhardt, 2020) (Han, 2018)

Ran-time bound in terms of

Ex(8,x)

which has infinite expectation

for a random 8!

72(8,x)=||3||w/\||3(x)||2+||Dx8/1/2||-2 \(\Delta=diag(d;)

Mat goes wrong?

The criterion to select zeros!

# The CKMW algorithm

1) Refine grid G=5" until do(g,5") small'

Exclude points  $x \in G$  s.t.  $||g(x)||/||g||_{w}$  big'

Triclude points  $x \in G$  s.t.  $||g(x)||/||g||_{w}$  small'

3 Post-process the selected points to get #ZIP(8)

# The CKMW algorithm

1) Refine grid  $G \subseteq S^n$  until  $d_{S}(g,S^n) \leq \frac{1}{cD^2K(S)^2}$   $= \frac{1}{cS} \times c \text{ unde points } \times EG = S.T. ||g(x)||/||g||_{W} > \overline{D}S$   $= \frac{1}{cD^2K(S)^2}$ Include points  $\times EG = S.T. ||g(x)||/||g||_{W} = \frac{1}{cD^2K(S)^2}$ 

3 Post-process the selected points to get #ZIP(8)

Note quadratic condition in the inclusion criterion!

K(8):= maxxEsn ||3||w/ \118(x)||2+110x8-10/2||-2' condition number

# The adaptive CKMW algorithm NAIVE EDITION

```
1) Refine adaptively G = 5"x(0,00) so that

1) 5" = U{Bs(x,v)|(x,r) = G}
            & 2) \forall (x,r) \in G, r \in \sqrt[4]{cDX(8,x)^2}
2 \begin{cases} \text{Exclude } (x, y) \in \mathcal{G} \text{ if } ||g(x)||/||g||_{w} \geq \sqrt{D} P \\ \text{Include } (x, y) \in \mathcal{G} \text{ if } ||g(x)||/||g||_{w} \leq \sqrt{2}D^{2}\mathcal{X}(g, x)^{2} \end{cases}
3 Post-process the selected points to get
                                                              #Z<sub>IP</sub>(8)
```

Still quadratic inclusion criterion

12(8,x):= ||3||w/ \18(x)||2+110x8-10/2||-2' local condition number

# Where does the square come from?

Smale's x-criterion:

$$\alpha(8,x):=\beta(8,x)\gamma(8,x) \leq \alpha_*$$

$$(B_{S}(x, 1.5\beta(8, x)) \cap Z_{S}(8) = 1$$

where B(8,x):= || Dx8-18(x)|| & x(8,x):=sup || Dx8-1/2,0x8||

- · Higher Derivative Estimate: 8 (8,x) < 1/2 D3/2 X(8,x)
- · A bad bound for B: B(8,x) = K(8,x) | 8(x) | / 18| w

$$N_{3}(x) := \frac{x - D_{x} 3^{-1} 3(x)}{\|x - D_{x} 3^{-1} 3(x)\|}, N_{3}^{h+1}(x) = N_{3}(N_{3}^{h}(x))$$

Where does the square come from?

Smale's  $\alpha$ -criterion:  $\alpha(8,x):=\beta(8,x)\gamma(8,x)\leq \alpha_*$ 

 $(1) \# B_{S}(x, 1.5\beta(8, x)) \cap Z_{S}(8) = 1$   $\& \quad N_{8}^{u}(x) \xrightarrow{\text{quadratically } 2 \text{ erro of } 8$ 

where B(8,x):= || Dx8-18(x)|| & x(8,x):=sup || Dx8-1/2,0x8||

Assume 12 K(8,x) || 8(x) || /18 || ~ 1...

- · Higher Derivative Estimate: 8 (3 m) = 1/2 K(8,x)
- · A bad bound for B: (B(8,x) = K(8,x) || 8(x) ||/|| 8|| w)

(This creates the square!

 $N_3(x) := \frac{x - D_x 3^{-1} 3(x)}{||x - D_x 3^{-1} 3(x)||}, N_3^{h+1}(x) = N_3(N_3^{h}(x))$ 

We should use B directly!

Converse Smale's a-theorem. Y(8,x) dists(x, 25(8)) < 1 (4)  $\alpha(8,x) \leq \gamma(8,x) \operatorname{dist}_{5}(x, z_{5}(8))$ 1-8(8,x)dists(x,25(8)) 'If x is sufficiently near 25(8), then Smale's a-criterion at xholds' Covollary. I& VZX(&,x) || &(x) || / || &| / 1, then d(8,x) < d\*or Bs(x, c/D2x(8,x)) 1725(8)=\$

# The adaptive CKMW algorithm NON NAIVE EDITION!!!

```
1) Refine adaptively G=5"x(0,00) so that

1) S"=U{Bs(x,r)|(x,r) ∈ G}
           & 2) \forall (x,r) \in G, r \in \sqrt[4]{cDX(8,x)}
2 \begin{cases} \text{Exclude } (x,y) \in \mathcal{G} \text{ if } ||g(x)||/||g||_w \geq \sqrt{D} P \\ \text{Include } (x,y) \in \mathcal{G} \text{ if } ||g(x)||/||g||_w \geq \sqrt{2} D^2 \mathcal{H}(g,x) \end{cases}
3 Post-process the selected points to get
                                    #Z<sub>IP</sub>(8)
```

Using B gives the desired Exesuk(8,x) bound!

12(8,x):= ||3||w/ \18(x)||2+110x8-1/21-2' local condition number

Some extra tricks

 $C(8,x):=\frac{||8||_{\infty}}{||8||_{\infty},||0\times8^{-1}\Delta^{2}||_{\infty,2}}$ where D: = diag(di) Extra normalization

Row-vormalization 8:= (8:/118:110); ESULT

# PROBABILISTIC MODEL

& E Ha[n] dobro random system  $8:=\sum_{\alpha}\sqrt{\binom{di}{\alpha}}c_{i,\alpha}X^{\alpha}$  with  $c_{i,\alpha}$  independent, centered i.e. EC; x=0 subgaussian with cte. < K; We also have a smoothed i.e. E|C;, a|e ≤ K; e el/2 For e≥1 versions auticoncentration cte. < p; i.e. It (|Ci, a-t| \le \epsilon) \le 2p; \epsilon for tER generalizes KSS random systems where C; ~~ N(0,1)

### MAIN THEOREM

There is a DETERMINISTIC, NUMERICALLY STABLE algorithm a CKMW that given & E Halful computes #Zp(8) and such that  $\mathbb{E}_{8} \text{ run-time } (a CKMW, 8) \leq 2^{O(n\log n)} D^{n}N + 2^{O(n\log n)} 2.5(N+D)$ (GOOD' PROBABILISTIC RUN-TIME where  $D:=\max d;$   $D:=\prod d;$ N:= \( \text{n+d:} \) = # of zero & mu-zero coeff. of &

+ PARALLELIZABLE

FUTURE WORK We can produce correct adaptive Homology computation 1 of semialgebraic sets Post-processing step has to be inproved!



